

Item	Rule ref.	Subject	Raised By	When	Submission	Decision	Priority	Committee Comments
6	8.1	exhaust system	Grant Johnson	Oct-08	EXHAUST SYSTEM TO BE COMPLIANT WITH WOF REGULATIONS	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>OwenF:</b> This is covered by WOF but some of us have very deaf WOF inspectors do we have our own slightly higher noise level that is tested at scrutineering? This is done for motor bike racing in some classes. I think if we don't have a test we will always have deaf WOF inspectors giving warrants to noisier trucks.</p> <p><b>DarinN:</b> as long as there is a WOF. Not our job to test but we are stating that must be to a standard.</p> <p><b>JeremyW:</b> Is this really needed as cars need to be "certified road legal" and have a WOF.</p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> exhaust systems are regulated by WOF...These Rules will in no way exempt any vehicle from complying with any Land Transport NZ- LTNZ or LVVTA requirements. (the 'unrestricted' wording just allows a variety of design options).</p>
7	13.1	4 wheel steering	George Palmer	Oct-08	(details of the issue not supplied)	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>PeterV:</b> 4 wheel steering is not a standard feature of MC class vehicles and should not be allowed at the present time.</p> <p><b>JustinW:</b> No</p>
9	16.7	wheel spacers	Grant Johnson	Oct-08	WHEEL SPACER TO BE LVVTA CERTIFIED	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>OwenF:</b> If the Low volume certifier will cert them can't see any reason not to allow them.</p> <p><b>DarinN:</b> anything as long as LVVTA cert.</p> <p><b>JeremyW:</b> Do we want to get this specific? The car needs to be certified, and have a WOF, so surely the spacers should be road legal?</p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> wheel spacers can be certified and so are allowed if so certified.</p> <p><b>JustinW:</b> OK.</p>
10	22	correction	Jack Talbot	Oct-08	spelling correction in the section heading (SAFETY)	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>PeterV:</b> correction accepted.</p>
11	22.1	safety equipment - fire extinguishers	Grant Johnson	Oct-08	FIRE EXTINGUISHERS TO BE MOUNTED CORRECTLY AND WITHIN EASY REACH, IE NOT VERTICAL ON B PILLAR BEHIND SEATS	no change for 2009	A	<p><b>OwenF:</b> At least one should be accessible for both diver and co driver in there seats with belts off.</p> <p><b>DarinN:</b> as per current rule but it must be fixed to manufacturers specs as well - ie dry power should be horizontal.</p> <p><b>JeremyW:</b> Rule currently says "must be mounted securely with at least one within easy reach of either Driver or Co-Driver at all times while seated in the vehicle". <a href="http://www.4x4challenges.org.nz/webrules/7/#rule22.1">http://www.4x4challenges.org.nz/webrules/7/#rule22.1</a></p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> the present rule is adequate as even a horizontal extinguisher will compact.</p>
12	22.3	harnesses	Jack Talbot	Oct-08	duplication of rule 17.3	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>JeremyW:</b> I would suggest keeping 22.3 and removing 17.3 instead, as I think it's more likely for someone who's looking for info on harnesses, to look in the safety section.</p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> reinforcement of 17.3 with the guidance that standard belts are needed for on-road driving unless the vehicle has a specific exemption through an 'authority card'.</p>
13	24.2 (d)	WOF rule	Grant Johnson	Oct-08	WOF 28DAY OR LESS OR CURRENT LOG BOOK	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>OwenF:</b> Agree.</p> <p><b>DarinN:</b> yes, also if ORANZ or MANZ logbook then same applies.</p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> Yes, use a log book or have a WoF that's less than 28 days old.</p> <p><b>JustinW:</b> generally yes.</p>

<b>Item</b>	<b>Rule ref.</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Raised By</b>	<b>When</b>	<b>Submission</b>	<b>Decision</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>Committee Comments</b>
14	24.2 (e) & 32.3	3rd party insurance	George Palmer	Oct-08	(when a competition is held on one site)	no change for 2009	A	<p><b>JeremyW:</b> Pardon? Is George referring just to the Auckland club insurance?</p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> Third Party insurance needs to be held by all participants, especially competitors. It provides them with protection from claims for property damage that can be directly attributed. The annual cost is minimal. A vehicle fire in a 'pits' area could be very expensive and cost a lot of relationships.</p>
15	24.2 (f)	helmets	Jack Talbot	Oct-08	duplication of rule 22.2	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>PeterV:</b> One rule sets the requirement, the other requires the Helmets to be presented as a condition of entry. (that could be dropped if desired).</p>
16	24.2 (h)	roll cage	Grant Johnson	Oct-08	DOCUMENT PROOF OF ROLL CAGE SPEC OR CERTIFICATION REQUIRED OR USE LOG BOOK	approved change for 2009	A	<p><b>JackT:</b> the use of log book to satisfy compliance to event scrutineers would affect many such rules.</p> <p><b>OwenF:</b> Hard to know how far you want to go with this my cage is built with accepted off road racing specs but this can not be supported with paper work because the tube I have used is not mentioned in the rules but is accepted by scrutineers. The accepted norm to date is that the cage follows one of the accepted cage designs using accepted materials.</p> <p><b>DarinN:</b> it's quite simple, we set a guideline and the competitor is responsible for ensuring it is to that standard. We are not engineers and shouldn't try to be.</p> <p><b>JeremyW:</b> Doesn't certification = documented proof? I think any method of proving your cage complies should be fine, so long as it is on paper (removing the liability from the scrutineer).</p> <p><b>PeterV:</b> The aim of log books is to document the roll cage. Otherwise specific evidence of construction will be needed as in the existing rules.</p> <p><b>JeremyW:</b> how is a log book going to prove roll cage compliance?</p>