

<b>Item</b>	<b>Rule ref.</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Raised By</b>	<b>When</b>	<b>Submission</b>	<b>Decision</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>Committee Comments</b>
1	All	National set of rules	Darin Neeley	Oct-08	Bruce Perry, chairman of the mainland series, wants to put together a joint committee between 4x4 Challenges and Mainland to get one set of rules for the whole country. He'd also like to have a national final next year! You could say he is very positive about it all.	feedback requested (no change proposed for 2009)	C	<b>JackT:</b> Darin has made some good progress with the SI guys on working towards a common set of rules, but I don't think we could realistically expect to achieve this for 2009. ...rather we should work towards a set of Supplementary Regs that we can use for a national final at the end of the year (assuming we can get someone to run it and that competitors will come) to be used as a test for rule consistency and then plan to put a national set of rules in place from 2010. <b>JustinW:</b> All supportive of a series with SI.
8	16.2	wheels & tyres	Grant Johnson	Oct-08	CHANGE MAX TYRE SIZE TO 37"	feedback requested (no change proposed for 2009)	C	<b>JeremyW:</b> This one has been discussed to death in the rules committee for several years. It has always been rejected as at present, we have Nissan's, Landcruiser's, Hilux's, Zuk's, Rover's all competing on a pretty level playing field. That extra inch or two of tyre would require more power and drivetrain strength, which favours the Nissan platform and also adds a considerable amount of expense. <b>PeterV:</b> Not an idea that I agree with. <b>JustinW:</b> Half definately no, the rest maybe, it might bring new sponsors in. Also suggested the the size marked on the tyre is the size (ie no guage) also suggested that the max diameter stay as is but width be widened. <b>JackT:</b> This is definitely something that would require broad input and plenty of consideration - one which I suggest should not be planned for conclusion for 2009.
21	new rule?	roll cage for Club class	Darin Neeley	Oct-08	SI have just introduced a roll bar for club class!! ...I think the cage rule is something we have to put to the club guys? Again it isn't really our decision but we do need to supply the info for in an informed decision.	feedback requested (no change proposed for 2009)	C	<b>DarinN:</b> Interesting to see the SI are going to require roll bars in club class and they will then use all the same tracks. The idea is to allow club class to possibly win overall. That isn't how I did it BUT it does make it easier to run the event and have the classes. <b>JackT:</b> an interesting development for the club (i.e. entry level) class, if that's what they intend it to be. It would definitely cover off the safety concerns and make it easier for event organisers, but may mean there ends up not being enough difference between the classes to help new competitors make the decision to enter their trucks. My vote would be to keep it minimal, if anything, to a hoop behind the front seats and even make it removable if wanted. <b>DarinN:</b> Yeah I think the roll bar for club can be a pretty loose requirement so removable would be fine and the spec can be pretty open as well.
21.1							C	..comments continued.. <b>PeterV:</b> A roll bar with bracing is essential for any category of winch challenge contest. I still believe that the courses/stages need to be addressed to recognise the different levels of protection to occupants between a full cage and just a roll bar. Drivers don't go any slower just because they only have a roll bar! <b>JeremyW:</b> Club class is beyond the scope of our rules, so I thought we'd just stick to working on version 8 of our rules for now, and maybe address the whole club class thing later?